Nonlinear Iwasawa Decomposition of Control Flows

Fritz Colonius

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg, 86135 Augsburg, Germany fritz.colonius@math.uni-augsburg.de

> Paulo R.C. Ruffino Departamento de Matematica, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081-970 Campinas, SP, Brasil

> > March 31, 2004

Abstract

Let $\varphi(t, \cdot, u)$ be the flow of a control system on a Riemannian manifold M of constant curvature. For a given initial condition kin the orthonormal frame bundle, that is, an orthonormal frame kin the tangent space $T_{x_0}M$ for some $x_0 \in M$, there exists a unique decomposition $\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t$ where Θ_t is a control flow in the group of isometries of M and the remainder component ρ_t fixes x_0 with derivative $D\rho_t(k) = k \cdot s_t$ where s_t are upper triangular matrices.

AMS 2000 subject classification:

Key words: control flows, group of affine transformations, isometries, nonlinear Iwasawa decomposition.

1 Introduction

Dynamical systems in a differentiable manifold M (including deterministic, random, stochastic and control systems) are globally described by the cor-

responding trajectories in the group Dif(M) of global diffeomorphisms of the manifold M. In most interesting examples and applications, the manifold M has a Riemannian metric endowed with the corresponding geometric structure: orthonormal frame bundle OM over M, Levi-Civita horizontal lift, covariant derivative of tensors, geodesics, among other structures whose constructions depend intrinsically on this metric. The natural motivation to work with Riemannian manifolds is the fact that they provide a sufficiently rich geometric structure where all the intuitive models that one has in Euclidean spaces also hold locally in these spaces.

Once a differentiable manifold is endowed with a Riemannian metric, one can distinguish the elements in the group of diffeomorphisms Dif(M) which preserve the metric, the group I(M) of isometries of M. In general the group Dif(M) is an infinite dimensional Lie group, while the group of isometries I(M) is finite dimensional. This group has a rather special interest, since it carries geometric and topological properties of M. Roughly speaking, what we describe in this paper is a factorization of a flow φ_t (a one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms) into a component Θ_t which lies in this compact, finite dimensional subgroup of isometries I(M) and another component (the remainder) ρ_t which fixes a certain point on M and contains the long time stability behavior (Lyapunov exponents) of the system. The title of the paper is motivated by the classical Iwasawa decomposition for linear maps which is the factorization of a matrix as a product of an orthogonal and an upper triangular matrix, hence of an isometry and a matrix containing the expansion/contraction terms. Under certain geometrical conditions, this decomposition can go further, including a component in the affine transformations group.

The idea of this kind of decomposition of flows has first appeared in Liao [13] for stochastic flows, with hypotheses on the vector fields of the systems. A geometrical condition on the manifold M (namely: constant curvature), instead of on the vector fields was established in Ruffino [16], with some examples also in [17]. This paper intends to apply the same technique to show that this decomposition also holds in the context of control flows. For the reader's convenience we shall recall some of the geometrical background and the most illustrative examples which were presented in those articles.

We remark that a main interest in this kind of decomposition is the fact that characteristic asymptotic parameters of the systems (Lyapunov exponents and rotation numbers) appear separately in each of the components of our decomposition. For details on the definitions of these asymptotic parameters on (random) dynamical systems we refer to the articles by Liao [12], Ruffino [17], Arnold and Imkeller [2] and the references therein.

Section 2 provides an overview of control flows and geometric preliminaries for non-expert readers (it can be skipped by those who are familiar with the topic). Section 3 derives the nonlinear Iwazawa decomposition and proves that the isometric part is, by itself, a control flow, with appropriate vector fields. Section 4 characterizes the manifolds for which the required assumptions are always satisfied. Finally, Section 5 adapts some examples in [16] and [17] to the context of control flows in (simply connected) manifolds of constant curvature: Euclidean spaces \mathbb{R}^n , spheres, and a hyperbolic space.

2 Setup

In this section we describe some basic facts on control flows, geometry of Riemannian manifolds, and their affine and isometric transformations.

2.1 Control Flows

We consider a control system in a complete connected *d*-dimensional Riemannian manifold M given by a family F of smooth vector fields $F \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$. We assume that the linear span of F is a finite dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$, i.e., F is contained in a finite dimensional affine subspace of $\mathcal{X}(M)$. The time-dependent vector fields taking values in F are

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ X \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E), \ X_t \in F \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$
(1)

Below we will assume that all corresponding (nonautonomous) differential equations

$$\dot{x} = X_t x \text{ where } X \in \mathcal{F},$$
(2)

have unique (absolutely continuous) global solutions $\varphi_t(x_0, X)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, with $\varphi_0(x_0, X) = x_0$. Then system (2) defines a flow on $\mathcal{F} \times M$

$$\Phi_t(X, x_0) = (\theta_t X, \varphi_t(x, X)), \ t \in \mathbb{R};$$
(3)

here θ_t is the shift on \mathcal{F} given by $(\theta_t X)(s) = X_{t+s}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$. We call this the associated (non-parametric) control flow (cp. also [5]). It is closely related to control flows as considered in [4] with the shift on the space \mathcal{U} of control functions; here the time dependent vector fields are parametrized by the

control functions and it has to be assumed that the system is control-affine and the control range U is compact and convex. In fact, the time-dependent vector fields in \mathcal{F} (and hence the control flow (3)) can be parametrized as follows.

Proposition 2.1 (i) Let $F \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$ be a compact and convex subset of the finite dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$ spanned by these vector fields. Then there exist a convex and compact subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $m = \dim E$, and m + 1 vector fields $X_0, ..., X_m \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ such that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(\cdot)X_i, \ (u_i) \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m) \ with \ u(t) \in U \ for \ t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$
(4)

(ii) Conversely, consider a control-affine system on M of the form

$$\dot{x} = X_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) X_i(x),$$

$$(u_i) \in \mathcal{U} = \{ u \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^d), \ u(t) \in U \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}, X_0, ..., X_m \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is convex and compact. Then

$$F = \{X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i X_i, \ u \in U\}$$
(5)

is a convex and compact subset of a finite dimensional space $E \subset X(M)$ of vector fields and

$$\{X \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E), \ X_t \in F \ for \ t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(\cdot)X_i, \ u \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Proof: Clearly, for a compact and convex set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, the set F in (5) is a convex and compact subset of a finite dimensional vector space in $\mathcal{X}(M)$. The vector space E spanned by the vector fields X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_m has dimension bounded by m + 1. Conversely, let F be a convex and compact set generating an m-dimensional space $E \subset \mathcal{X}(M)$. Fixing $X_0 \in F$ and a base X_1, \ldots, X_m of E one finds that every element $X \in F$ can uniquely be written as

$$X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i X_i$$

with coefficients $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$. We may assume that $X_1, \ldots, X_m \in F$, since E is generated by F. Clearly, the corresponding set U of coefficients forms a convex and compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m (with $0 \in U$). It remains to show that for every $X \in \mathcal{F}$ one can find a measurable selection u with

$$X_t = X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) X_i$$
 for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

This follows from Filippov's Theorem, see e.g. Aubin/Frankowska [3], Theorem 8.2.10.

Remark: If F is contained in an n-dimensional affine space, then dim E = n+1, therefore, in the second part of the proof, one can restrict to m, instead of (m+1), vector fields: just take e.g. $X_0 = X_1$ in the arguments above.

This proposition shows that the nonparametric control flows are just a concise way of writing the control flows corresponding to control-affine systems as considered, e.g., in [4]; here one uses the shift on the space \mathcal{U} of admissible control functions instead of the shift on the space of time dependent vector fields. Nonparametric control flows inherit all properties of control flows; in fact they can also be considered as the special case

$$\dot{x} = u(t)x, \ u \in \mathcal{F} = \{u \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E), \ u(t) \in F \text{ for} t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Note that for a fixed control function $u(\cdot)$, these equations reduce to ordinary differential equations, hence one can apply all the techniques of existence and uniqueness of solution and differential dependence on parameters. The family Φ_t is a continuous skew-product flow on $\mathcal{F} \times M$. Note that the *M*-component of Φ satisfies the cocycle property

$$\varphi_{t+s}(x,X) = \varphi_t(\varphi_s(x,X),\theta_s X).$$

When the control vector field $X = X_t$ is implicit in the context, for sake of simplicity in the notation, we shall write simply φ_t instead of $\varphi_t(\cdot, X)$.

The non-linear Iwasawa decomposition can more precisely be described as follows: Under certain geometrical conditions on the vector fields [13], or if the manifold M has constant curvature (cf. Theorem 4.1), then, for an initial condition $x_0 \in M$ and an initial orthonormal frame k in the tangent space $T_{x_0}M$, there exists a unique factorization

$$\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t, \tag{6}$$

where Θ_t corresponds to a control flow in the group of isometries, ρ_t fixes the starting point x_0 for all $t \ge 0$, i.e., $\rho_t(x_0, X) \equiv x_0$, and the derivative in the space parameter $D\rho(k) = k s_t$ where s_t are upper triangular matrices. Adding some other restrictions in the vector fields (or assuming that M is flat, cf. Corollary 4.2) one can go further in the decomposition and factorize the remainder ρ_t of equation (6) to get a (dynamically) weaker remainder (using the same notation ρ_t):

$$\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t \circ \rho_t, \tag{7}$$

where Θ_t are isometries, Ψ_t are in the group of affine transformations of M(hence so does $\Theta_t \circ \Psi_t$), but now the new remainders ρ_t are diffeomorphisms which fix x_0 for all $t \ge 0$, i.e. $\rho_t(x_0) \equiv x_0$, and the derivative with respect to x, the space parameter, is given by the identity $D\rho_t \equiv Id_{T_{x_0}M}$. In decomposition (7) we have extracted the affine component from the previous remainder in (6). Hence, in this second factorization, the dynamics of ρ_t is reduced locally to the identity, up to first order.

2.2 Geometric Preliminaries

We shall denote the linear frame bundle over a *d*-dimensional smooth manifold M by GL(M). It is a principal bundle over M with structural group $Gl(d, \mathbb{R})$. A Riemannian structure on M is determined by a choice of a subbundle of orthonormal frames OM with structural subgroup $O(d, \mathbb{R})$. We shall denote by $\pi : GL(M) \to M$ and by $\pi_o : OM \to M$ the projections of these frame bundles onto M. The canonical Iwasawa decomposition given by the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization in the elements of a frame $k = (k^1, \ldots, k^d)$ defines a projection $\perp : k \mapsto k^{\perp} : GL(M) \to OM$ such that GL(M) is again a principal bundle over OM with structural group $S \subset Gl(d, \mathbb{R})$, the subgroup of upper triangular matrices. The principal bundles described above factorize as $\pi = \pi_o \circ \perp$.

Unless in quite particular examples, the Levi-Civita connection (torsion free) is the most physically meaningful, hence this is the connection we are going to consider in this paper. We recall that for a frame k in GL(M) a connection Γ determines a direct sum decomposition of the tangent space at k into horizontal and vertical subspaces which will be denoted by $T_kGL(M) = HT_kGL(M) \oplus VT_kGL(M)$. An analogous decomposition holds in the tangent bundle $TOM \subset TGL(M)$. For $k \in OM$, we have that $HT_kOM = HT_kGL(M)$. Given a vector field X on M, we denote its horizontal lift to GL(M) by $HX(k) \in T_kGL(M)$.

The covariant derivative of a vector field X at x is a linear map denoted by $\nabla X(x) : T_x M \to T_x M$, we write $\nabla X(Y)$ or $\nabla_Y X$ for a vector $Y \in T_x M$. In terms of fibre bundles, the covariant derivative is defined as a derivative along horizontal lift of trajectories, hence it has a purely vertical component. Considering the right action of the structural group in the frame bundle GL(M), via adjoint, we can associate to ∇X an element in the structural group $Gl(d, \mathbb{R})$ of the principal bundle GL(M) given by the matrix $\tilde{X}(k) =$ $ad(k^{-1})\nabla X$, which acts on the right such that $\nabla X(k) = k\tilde{X}(k)$. Note that, different from ∇X , the right action of the matrix $\tilde{X}(k)$ does depend on k.

The natural lift of X to GL(M) is the unique vector field δX in GL(M)such that $L_{\delta X(k)}\theta = 0$, where θ is the canonical \mathbb{R}^d -valued 1-form on GL(M)defined by $\theta(Hk(\zeta)) = \zeta$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This natural lift is given by:

$$\delta X(k) = \frac{d}{dt} [D \eta_t(k)]|_{t=0}.$$
(8)

where $D\eta_t : T_{x_0}M \to T_{\eta_t(x_0)}M$ is the derivative of the local 1-parameter group of diffeomorphisms η_t associated to the vector field X. Note that it describes the infinitesimal behavior of the linearized flow of X in an orthonormal basis k of the space $T_{x_0}M$. Naturally, δX is equivariant by the right action of $Gl(d, \mathbb{R})$ in the fibres.

Next lemma guarantees that the left action of the linearized flow is also well defined in the subbundle OM. In fact, this is a well expected result since the horizontal component is the same of the horizontal component in GL(M), and, for the vertical component (in the fibre), the left action of $Gl(m, \mathbb{R})$ is well defined in the flag manifolds, see e.g. [18]. In any case, for the reader's convenience we shall present a proof of this simpler version which is all that we need here.

Lemma 2.1 The projection \perp : $GL(M) \rightarrow OM$ is invariant for the linearized flow, in the sense that, for all $k \in GL(M)$,

$$(D\eta_t(k))^{\perp} = (D\eta_t(k^{\perp}))^{\perp}.$$
 (9)

Proof: This is a consequence of the commutativity of the right action of $Gl(d, \mathbb{R})$ (in particular, in this case, the action of the upper triangular matrices subgroup S) on GL(M) with any other linear left actions (in particular,

in this case, the linearized flow). In fact, consider the Iwasawa decomposition $k = k^{\perp} \cdot s_{(k)}$ for some $s_{(k)} \in S$. Hence,

$$D\eta_t(k^{\perp} \cdot s_{(k)}) = (D\eta_t k^{\perp}) \cdot s_{(k)} = (D\eta_t(k))^{\perp} \cdot s_{(D\eta_t(k))}.$$

Equality (9) follows by the uniqueness of the Iwasawa decomposition.

The vertical component $V\delta X(k)$ at $k \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$ is given by the covariant derivative $\nabla X(k)$ (see e.g. Elworthy [6], or Kobayashi and Nomizu [9]). In terms of Lie algebra, consider the canonical Cartan decomposition of matrices $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{S}$ into a skew-symmetric and upper triangular component respectively. By projecting in each of these two components, we write $\tilde{X}(k) = [\tilde{X}(k)]_{\mathcal{K}} + [\tilde{X}(k)]_{\mathcal{S}}$. With this notation, we have the decomposition:

$$\delta X(k) = H(X) + k[\tilde{X}(k)]_{\mathcal{K}} + k[\tilde{X}(k)]_{\mathcal{S}}, \qquad (10)$$

where H(X) is the horizontal lift of X to T_kOM ,

The natural lift of X to the subbundle OM, denoted by $(\delta X)^{\perp}$ is the projection of δX onto OM, i.e. for $k \in OM$,

$$(\delta X)^{\perp}(k) := \frac{d}{dt} \left[D \eta_t(k) \right]^{\perp} |_{t=0}.$$

Again, we have the decomposition of $(\delta X)^{\perp}(k)$ into horizontal and vertical components: $(\delta X)^{\perp}(k) = H\delta X(k) + V(\delta X)^{\perp}(k)$. In terms of the right action of $\tilde{X}(k)$, the vertical component is simply $V(\delta X)^{\perp}(k) = k[\tilde{X}(k)]_{\mathcal{K}}$. In terms of the left action of (∇X) we shall denote $V(\delta X)^{\perp}(k) = (\nabla X(k))^{\perp}k$, where $(\nabla X(k))^{\perp}$ is a skew-symmetric map: $T_x M \to T_x M$. The characterization of $(\nabla X(k))^{\perp}$ in terms of its left action on OM is the content of the following lemma. Although the formula looks quite intricate, it helps to understand the corresponding right action of $\tilde{X}(k)$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $k = (k^1, \ldots, k^d) \in OM$ with $\pi_o(k) = x$. The image of the *j*-th component k^j by the matrix $(\nabla X(k))^{\perp}$ is given by

$$(\nabla X(k))^{\perp} k^{j} = \nabla X(k^{j}) - \langle \nabla X(k^{j}), k^{j} \rangle k^{j} - \sum_{0 < r < j} \left(\langle \nabla X(k^{r}), k^{j} \rangle + \langle \nabla X(k^{j}), k^{r} \rangle \right) k^{r}.$$

Proof: If $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto V_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is differentiable with $V_t \neq 0$ for all $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, then:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{V_t}{\|V_t\|} \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\dot{V}_t}{\|V_t\|} - \frac{\langle \dot{V}_t, V_t \rangle}{\|V_t\|^3} V_t , \qquad (11)$$

where \dot{V}_t is the derivative of V_t .

For the sake of simplicity, fix a basis in $T_x M$ and denote by A the matrix which represents the linear transformation $\nabla X(x)$. Formula (11) with t = 0 will be used in each coordinate of

$$(e^{At}(k))^{\perp} = \left(\frac{V_t^1}{\|V_t^1\|}, \dots, \frac{V_t^d}{\|V_t^d\|}\right) ,$$

where each component of the orthogonalization process is given by

$$V_t^j = e^{At}(k^j) - \sum_{0 < r < j} \frac{\langle e^{At}(k^j), V_t^r \rangle}{\langle V_t^r, V_t^r \rangle} V_t^r .$$

One easily checks, by induction in j, that the derivatives satisfy:

$$\left. \frac{dV_t^j}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = A(k^j) - \sum_{0 < r < j} \left(\langle A(k^j), k^r \rangle + \langle A(k^r), k^j \rangle \right) k^r ,$$

which gives, by formula (11),

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{V_t}{\|V_t\|} \right) \right|_{t=0} = A(k^j) - \langle A(k^j), k^j \rangle k^j - \sum_{0 < r < j} \left(\langle A(k^r), k^j \rangle + \langle A(k^j), k^r \rangle \right) k^r \,.$$

One sees the skew-symmetry of $(\nabla X(k))^{\perp}$ by checking that

$$< (\nabla X(k))^{\perp} k^{i}, k^{j} > = - < (k^{i}, \nabla X(k))^{\perp} k^{j} > .$$

2.3 Affine Transformations and Isometries

The group of diffeomorphisms Dif(M) is generated by the exponential of its Lie algebra which can be identified with the space of smooth, bounded derivative vector fields $\mathcal{X}(M)$. This exponential of vector fields here means the associated flow. We shall denote by A(M) the Lie subgroup of affine transformations of M whose elements are given by maps $\Psi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that their derivatives $D\Psi$ preserve horizontal trajectories in TM. This is equivalent to saying that affine maps are those which preserve geodesics. Its Lie algebra a(M) is the set of infinitesimal affine transformations characterized by vector fields X such that the Lie derivative of the connection form ω on GL(M) satisfies $L_{\delta X}\omega = 0$. Yet, X is an infinitesimal affine transformation if for all vectors fields Y:

$$\nabla A_X(Y) = R(X, Y),$$

where the tensor $A_X = L_X - \nabla_X$ and R is the curvature (see e.g. Kobayashi and Nomizu [9, Chap. VI, Prop. 2.6]).

For a fixed $k \in GL(M)$, the linear map

$$i_1: a(M) \to T_k GL(M)$$

 $X \mapsto \delta X(k)$
(12)

is injective, see e.g. Kobayashi and Nomizu [9, Theorem VI.2.3]. We shall denote by $\delta a(k)$ its image in $T_k GL(M)$.

We shall denote by I(M) the Lie group of isometries of M, $I(M) \subset A(M)$. Its Lie algebra i(M) is the space of Killing vector fields or infinitesimal isometries, characterized by the skew-symmetry of the covariant derivative, i.e., a vector field X is Killing if and only if

$$\langle \nabla X(Z), W \rangle = - \langle Z, \nabla X(W) \rangle,$$

for all vectors Z, W in a tangent space $T_x M$. Note that, in this case, by Lemma 2.2, for any orthonormal frame k we have that $(\nabla X(k))^{\perp} = \nabla X$ and $(\delta X)^{\perp}(k) = \delta X(k)$.

For a fixed $k \in OM$, the linear map

$$i_2: i(M) \to T_k OM$$
$$X \mapsto \delta X(k) \tag{13}$$

is just a restriction of the map i_1 defined above, hence it is also injective. We shall denote by $\delta i(k)$ its image in T_kOM .

Since, as we said, the dynamics can be described as trajectories in Lie groups (of diffeomorphisms, isometries, affine transformations, etc.), whenever convenient, we shall change from the usual dynamical terminology into the Lie group terminology. For example, as we have mentioned before, vector fields are identified with Lie algebra elements which will generate right invariant vector fields in the Lie group Dif(M); furthermore, if ϕ belongs to the connected component of the identity of Dif(M), one identifies the derivative in the space $D\phi: TM \to TM$ (which sends vector fields into vector fields in M) with the derivative of the left action $L_{\phi}: TA(M) \to TA(M)$. In fact, given such a ϕ , there exists an element a in the Lie algebra of Dif(M) such that $\phi = e^a$. If b is another vector field, then $D\phi(b) = De^a(b) = L_{\phi}(b)$.

3 Decompositions of Control Flows

This section describes conditions on the vector fields of the control system for the existence of the decomposition into isometric or affine transformations.

We start with a theorem which, under certain conditions on the vector fields $X \in F$, factorizes the control flow φ_t of equation (2) in the form $\varphi_t = \Psi_t \circ \rho_t$ such that Ψ_t is a control flow in the affine transformations group, and the remainder ρ_t fixes the initial point and has trivial derivatives (identity).

Let k be an element in GL(M) which is a base for $T_{x_0}M$, i.e. $\pi(k) = x_0$. We shall assume the following hypothesis on the vector fields $X \in F$, involved in the control system (2):

(H1) $\delta[D\Psi(X)](k) \in \delta a(k)$, for all affine transformations $\Psi \in A(M)$.

Observe that in the finite dimensional case (classical affine control system), this condition holds if it holds for the vector fields $X_0, ..., X_m$ in the representation (4). Intuitively, a vector field X satisfies hypothesis (H1) if the associated flow carries x_0 and its 'infinitesimal neighborhood' (i.e., a basis in $T_{x_0}M$) along trajectories which 'instantaneously' coincide with the trajectories of an infinitesimally affine transformation.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose all vector fields $X \in F$ of the control system (2) satisfy the hypothesis (H1) for a certain frame $k \in GL(M)$, with $x_0 = \pi(k)$. Then, the associated control flow φ_t factorizes uniquely as:

$$\varphi_t = \Psi_t \circ \rho_t,$$

where Ψ_t is a control flow in the group of affine transformations A(M), and the remainder ρ_t satisfies $\rho_t(x_0) \equiv x_0$ and $D\rho_t = Id_{(T_{x_0}M)}$ for all $t \ge 0$. **Proof:** Since the linear map i_1 of equation (12) is injective, by hypothesis (H1), for each $X \in F$ we can uniquely define the infinitesimal affine transformation X^a which satisfies $\delta X^a(k) = \delta X(k)$. Hence, by the comments after Lemma 2.1, one obviously sees that

$$X^{a}(x_{0}) = X(x_{0})$$
 and $\nabla X^{a}(x_{0}) = \nabla X(x_{0}).$ (14)

Let Ψ_t be the solution of the following equation in the Lie group A(M), with $\Psi_0 = Id_M$:

$$\dot{\Psi}_t = \Psi_t [D\Psi_t^{-1}(X_t)]^a, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{F}.$$
(15)

where the elements $[\cdot]^a$ in the Lie algebra a(M) act on the right in A(M). We recall that, in the Lie algebra terminology, X_t here means $X_t(\Psi_t)$, the right invariant vector field evaluated at Ψ_t .

Equation (15) is obviously a control system in A(M) and the solution Ψ_t generates a control flow on A(M): Indeed, it is generated by the convex and compact set of vector fields on A(M)

$$\Psi \mapsto \Psi[D\Psi^{-1}(X)]^a, \ X \in F.$$

which is contained in the finite dimensional vector space obtained by considering all $X \in E$. Using that $\Psi_t \Psi_t^{-1} = Id_M$ one easily finds the control system for the inverse Ψ_t^{-1} in A(M):

$$\dot{\Psi}_t^{-1} = -[D\Psi_t^{-1}(X_t)]^a \Psi_t^{-1}, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We define $\rho_t = \Psi_t^{-1} \circ \varphi_t$. Again, in the context of the Lie group, we have the following equation for ρ_t in the Lie group of diffeomorphisms of M:

$$\dot{\rho}_{t} = D\Psi_{t}^{-1}(\dot{\varphi}_{t}) + (\dot{\Psi}_{t}^{-1})\varphi_{t}$$

$$= D\Psi_{t}^{-1}(X_{t}(\varphi_{t})) - [D\Psi_{t}^{-1}(X_{t})]^{a}\Psi_{t}^{-1}\varphi_{t}$$

$$= \left\{ D\Psi_{t}^{-1}(X_{t}) - [D\Psi_{t}^{-1}(X_{t})]^{a} \right\}(\rho_{t}).$$
(16)

In the last line we use the right invariance of the X and the fact that $D\Psi_t^{-1}(X_t(\varphi_t)) = L_{\Psi^{-1}}(R_{\rho_t}X_t(\Psi_t))$, which yields (by commutativity of right and left action) to $D\Psi_t^{-1}(X_t(\Psi_t))$ (ρ_t). That is, it is a direct application of the formula $L_g(X)(h) = L_g(X(g^{-1}h))$ for right invariant vector fields in a Lie group (with $L_g = D\Psi^{-1}$, $h = \rho_t$, $g = \Psi^{-1}$).

By definition of X^a (equation (14)) and equation (16) we have that, not only $\dot{\rho}_t(x_0) = 0$ but also that $\delta \{ D\Psi_t^{-1}X_t - [D\Psi_t^{-1}(X_t)]^a \}(\rho_t) = 0$, hence the derivative of the linearization $\frac{d}{dt}D\rho_t(u) = 0$. This establishes the properties of each component of the factorization of $\varphi_t = \Psi_t \circ \rho_t$ stated in the theorem.

For uniqueness, suppose that $\Psi'_t \circ \rho'_t = \Psi_t \circ \rho_t$ where Ψ'_t and ρ'_t also satisfy the properties stated. This implies that $\Psi_t^{-1}\Psi'_t(x_0) = x_0$ for all $t \ge 0$. Besides, the derivative $D_{x_0}(\Psi_t^{-1}\Psi'_t) = Id$, hence the natural lift to GL(M)satisfies the differential equation $\frac{d}{dt} D(\Psi_t^{-1}\Psi'_t) = 0$. Since the map i_1 is injective, it follows that $\Psi_t^{-1} \circ \Psi'_t = Id_M$.

Remark. We emphasize that the affine transformation system Ψ_t does depend on the choice of the initial frame k.

Remark. Observe that, in general, ρ_t is not a control system in Diff(M) since the vector fields involved in the equation do not depend exclusively on X_t and on the point ρ_t . On the other hand, the control flow Ψ_t may be considered as a skew product flow in $\mathcal{F} \times A(M)$. This follows at once from its definition. Then (Ψ_t, ρ_t) is a skew product flow in the fiber bundle $\mathcal{F} \times A(M) \times M \to A(M) \times M$ with base flow Ψ_t . In the linear case, this is well known and was used, e.g., by Johnson, Palmer and Sell [[7] in their proof of the Oseledets theorem for linear flows on vector bundles.

For the next theorem, fix an element $k \in OM$. We shall assume the following hypothesis on the vector fields $X \in F$ of the system:

(H2)
$$[\delta(D\Theta(X))(k)]^{\perp} \in \delta i(k)$$
 for every isometry $\Theta \in I(M)$.

Intuitively, a vector field X satisfies hypothesis (H2) if the associated flow carries x_0 and its 'infinitesimal neighborhood' (i.e., an orthonormal basis in $T_{x_0}M$) along trajectories which 'instantaneously' coincide with trajectories of a Killing vector field (infinitesimal isometry). That is, a vector field X violates (H2), if there is no isometry rotating the 'infinitesimal neighborhood' of x_0 into the same direction as the flow induced by X.

The nonlinear Iwasawa decomposition is described in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2 Suppose that for a certain frame $k \in OM$ with $x_0 = \pi_o(k)$, all vector fields $X \in F$ of the control system (2) satisfy hypothesis (H2). Then for the associated control flow φ_t one has the unique decomposition

$$\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t$$

where Θ_t generates a control flow in the group of isometries I(M), $\rho_t(x_0) = x_0$ and $D_{x_0}\rho_t(k) = k s_t$ for all $t \ge 0$, where s_t lies in the group of upper triangular matrices.

Proof: The first part of the proof proceeds similarly to the proof of Theorem 3.1, changing the group A(M) to I(M): Since the linear map i_2 of equation (13) is injective, for each $X \in F$, we can take X^i , the unique infinitesimal isometry which satisfies $\delta X^i(u) = (\delta X)^{\perp}(u)$. Analogously to equation (14), we have that:

$$X^{i}(x_{0}) = X(x_{0}) \text{ and } \nabla X^{i}(k) = (\nabla X(k))^{\perp}k.$$
 (17)

We define the following system in the group I(M), with initial condition $\Theta_0 = Id_M$:

$$\dot{\Theta}_t = \Theta_t [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]^i \tag{18}$$

Note that the equation above is a control system in I(M) and the solution Θ_t generates a control flow on I(M): Indeed, it is generated by the convex and compact set of vector fields on I(M)

$$\Theta \mapsto \Theta[D\Theta^{-1}(X)]^i, \ X \in F.$$

The control system for the inverse Θ_t^{-1} in I(M) is given by:

$$\dot{\Theta}_t^{-1} = -[D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]^i \Theta_t^{-1}, \ t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We define $\rho_t = \Theta_t^{-1} \circ \varphi_t$. Again, in the context of the Lie group, we have the following equation for ρ_t in the Lie group of diffeomorphisms of M (by the same arguments as for equation (16)):

$$\dot{\rho}_t = D\Theta_t^{-1} \left(\dot{\varphi}_t \right) + \left(\dot{\Theta}_t^{-1} \right) \varphi_t$$

= $D\Theta_t^{-1} (X_t(\varphi_t)) - [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]^i \Theta_t^{-1} \varphi_t$
= $\left\{ D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t) - [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]^i \right\} (\rho_t).$ (19)

By the first part of equation (17) and equation (19) we have that $\dot{\rho}_t(x_0) = 0$. Moreover, by the decomposition of formula (10) and the second part of equation (17) we have that, for a given $k \in OM$,

$$\delta \left\{ D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t) - [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]^i \right\} \ (k) = k \ [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\sim},$$

where $[D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\sim}$ on the right hand side are upper triangular matrices. As mentioned before, the canonical lift of a vector field gives the infinitesimal behavior of the linearized flow acting on a basis, that is, by definition (equation (8)) :

$$\frac{d}{dt}D\rho_t(k) = D\rho_t(k) \ [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\sim}.$$

Since the Lie algebra element on the right hand side is upper triangular and $D\rho_0(k) = k$, one can write $D\rho_t(k) = k s_t$ where s_t are upper triangular matrices which solve the following left invariant differential equation in the Lie group of upper triangular matrices:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{s}_t = s_t \ [D\Theta_t^{-1}(X_t)]_{\mathcal{S}}^{\sim}, \\ s_0 = Id. \end{cases}$$

This establishes the derivative property of the remainder ρ_t . For the uniqueness of the decomposition, one checks that it follows easily from the fact that the map i_2 is injective, analogous to uniqueness in Theorem 3.1.

Note that in Theorem 3.2, again, the decomposition depends on the initial orthonormal frame $k \in OM$ and the flow Θ_t may be viewed as a skew product flow on $\mathcal{F} \times I(M)$. Now, juxtaposing the decompositions established by Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following factorization of φ_t into three components.

Corollary 3.3 Suppose all vector fields $X \in F$ in the control system (2) satisfy conditions (H1) and (H2) for a certain frame $k \in OM$, with $x_0 = \pi_o(k)$. Then, for the associated control flow φ_t , one has the unique decomposition

$$\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t \circ \rho_t,$$

where each of the components Θ_t , Ψ_t , ρ_t have the properties stated in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2. Moreover $\Theta_t \circ \Psi_t$ corresponds to a control system in the group of affine transformations.

Proof: By Theorem 3.1, let $\varphi_t = \Psi'_t \circ \rho_t$ be the unique decomposition where Ψ'_t is a control system in the group of affine transformations A(M), $\rho_t(x_0) = x_0$ and $D\rho_t = Id_{T_{x_0}M}$ for all $t \ge 0$.

By Theorem 3.2, let $\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho'_t$ be the unique decomposition where Θ_t is the control system in the group of isometries I(M) with $\rho'_t(x_0) = x_0$ and $D_{x_0}\rho'_t(k) = k s'_t$ for a certain family s'_t in the group of upper triangular matrices.

Take the process Θ_t and ρ_t of the statement of this corollary as defined above. Define the process $\Psi_t = \Theta_t^{-1} \Psi'_t$. These assignments define the decomposition.

It only remains to prove that there exists a family on the group of upper triangular matrices such that $D\Psi_t(k) = k s_t$. By the properties above, $D\Psi'_t = D\varphi_t$, hence

$$D\Psi_t(k) = D\Theta_t^{-1} \circ D\Psi_t'(k) = D\Theta_t^{-1} \circ D\varphi_t(k)$$
$$= D\rho_t'(k) = k \ s_t'.$$

Thus the upper triangular matrix family s_t of the statement is given by s'_t . This confirms the expected fact that although, in general Ψ_t is different from Ψ'_t , they have the same derivative behavior (which carries the Lyapunov information of the system).

4 Conditions on the Manifold

This section characterizes Riemannian manifolds such that every vector field satisfies hypotheses (H1) and (H2), respectively, and hence the corresponding decompositions hold. These manifolds are precisely Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature (simply connected or quotients of them) for the isometric decomposition and flat space for the affine transformations decomposition. In particular, the three-factor decomposition of Corollary 3.3 exists for every control system if and only if M is a flat space. More precisely, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.1 If M is simply connected with constant curvature (or its quotient by discrete groups), then for every control system (2) and every orthonormal frame $k_0 \in OM$, the control flow admits a unique non-linear Iwasawa decomposition $\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t$. Conversely, if every control flow on M admits this decomposition, then the space M has constant curvature.

Proof: If M has constant curvature and is simply connected one checks directly that the dimension of $\mathcal{I}(M)$ is bounded above by d(d+1)/2. Hence the linear map i_2 defined in equation (13) is bijective. Therefore, hypothesis (H2) is always satisfied for any set of vector fields.

Conversely, assume that for all vector field X and for every orthonormal frame $k \in OM$, the corresponding flow η_t has the non-linear Iwasawa decomposition $\eta_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t$. Then, the trajectory k_t in OM induced by η_t satisfies

$$k_t := [D\eta(k)]^{\perp} = [D\Theta_t \circ D\rho_t(k)]^{\perp} = D\Theta_t(k).$$

We recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(D\Theta_t(k) \right) |_{t=0} = (\delta X)^{\perp}(k)$$
(20)

For any fixed $k \in GL(M)$, the linear map $\mathcal{X} \to T_kGL(M)$ given by $X \mapsto \delta X(k)$ is surjective because it concerns only local behavior of X on M. Hence, the projection of its image by $\perp: T_kGL(M) \to T_{k^{\perp}}OM$ is also surjective. In other words, if now $k \in OM$, then $X \mapsto (\delta X)^{\perp}(k)$ is surjective. If there exists the decomposition, equality (20) shows that the dimension of $\mathcal{I}(M)$ equals d(d+1)/2 which implies that M has constant curvature (see, e.g. Klingenberg [8], Ratcliffe [14] or Kobayashi and Nomizu [9, Thm. VI.3.3]).

As a particular case of the theorem above, we have the following conditions on M which guarantee that every system on it will have a flow which factorizes into the three components stated in Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 4.2 If M is flat, simply connected (or its quotient by discrete groups) then for every control system (2) and every orthonormal frame $k \in OM$, the associated flow φ_t has a unique decomposition $\varphi = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t \circ \rho_t$ as described in Corollary 3.3. Conversely, if every flow φ_t has this decomposition then M is flat.

Proof: If M is flat and simply connected, then a direct check shows that the dimensions of the groups i(M) and A(M) are d(d+1)/2 and d(d+1) respectively. This implies that the injective maps i_1 and i_2 are bijective, hence hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are satisfied for any set of vector fields on M.

Conversely, assume that for all vector fields X and for every orthonormal frame $k \in OM$ the corresponding flow η_t has the decomposition $\eta_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t \circ \rho_t$ with the properties asserted. Then, the trajectory k_t in GL(M) induced by η_t satisfies

$$k_t = D\Psi_t'(k),$$

where $\Psi'_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t$. We recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(D\Psi_t'(k) \right)|_{t=0} = \delta X(k).$$
(21)

Again, for a fixed $k \in GL(M)$, the linear map $X \mapsto \delta X(k)$ is surjective because it concerns only local structure of X on M. Hence, equality (21) implies that the dimension of the group of affine transformations A(M) equals d(d+1), which implies that M is flat (see, e.g. Klingenberg [8] or Kobayashi and Nomizu [9, Thm. VI.2.3]).

5 Examples

In the original paper by Liao [13], where the kind of decomposition we are extending here was first proposed, his decomposition is illustrated by working out one example in the sphere S^n . The results in the above section enlarge the class of examples to many well known manifolds including projective spaces, hyperbolic manifolds, flat torus and many other non-compact manifolds. In this section we shall describe calculations on all the three possible simplyconnected cases. We shall concentrate mainly on the isometric part Θ_t since this is the component which carries more intuitive motivation. Note that this is the component which presents the angular behavior (matrix of rotation, see e.g. [17], [2]), while Ψ_t presents the stability behavior (see [13] or [12])

The control system Θ_t in the group of isometries presented in Theorem 3.2 becomes well defined by equation (18). In this section we shall give a description of the calculation of the vector fields X^i involved in this equation in each one of the three possibilities of simply connected manifolds with constant curvature. In the case of flat spaces, the coefficients X^a of equation (15) for the system $\Psi'_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t$ (Theorem 3.1) will also be described.

5.1 Flat spaces

We recall that the group $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of affine transformations in \mathbb{R}^d (or any of its quotient space by discrete subgroup) can be represented as a subgroup of $Gl(d+1,\mathbb{R})$:

$$A(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ v & g \end{array} \right) \text{ with } g \in Gl(d, \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } v \text{ is a column vector} \right\}.$$

It acts on the left in \mathbb{R}^d through its natural embedding on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} given by $x \mapsto (1, x)$. The group of isometries is the subgroup of A(M) where $g \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$. Given a vector field X, assume that the initial condition x_0 is the origin and that k is an orthonormal frame in the tangent space at x_0 . One can easily compute the vector fields $X^a \in a(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $X^i \in i(\mathbb{R}^d)$ using the properties established in equations (14) and (17):

$$X^{a}(x) = X(0) + (D_{0}X)x$$

and

$$X^{i}(x) = X(0) + (D_{0}X(k))^{\perp}x$$

We shall fix k to be the canonical basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ of \mathbb{R}^d . Then the matrix $(D_0X(k))^{\perp}$ is simply the skew-symmetric component $(D_0X)_{\mathcal{K}}$.

In terms of the Lie algebra action of $a(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the vector fields X^a and X^i are given by the action of the elements

$$X^{a} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X & D_{0}X \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X^{i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ X & (D_{0}X)_{\mathcal{K}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let φ_t be the flow associated with the vector field X. One checks by inspection and by uniqueness that the component $\Psi'_t = \Theta_t \circ \Psi_t$ in the group of affine transformations (Theorem 3.1) and the component Θ_t (Theorem 3.2) which solve equations (15) and (18), respectively, are given by:

$$\Psi'_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \varphi_{t} & (D_{0}\varphi_{t}) \end{pmatrix}, \ \Theta_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \varphi_{t} & (D_{0}\varphi_{t})^{\perp} \end{pmatrix},$$
(22)

and

$$\Psi_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & (D_0 \varphi_t)^k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{23}$$

where $D_0\varphi_t = (D_0\varphi_t)^{\perp} \cdot (D_0\varphi_t)^k$ is the canonical Iwasawa decomposition of the derivative $D_0\varphi_t$.

We are representing both the isometries and the affine transformations as subgroups of the Lie group of matrices $Gl(n+1,\mathbb{R})$. Recall that in the group of matrices the differential of left or right action coincides with the product of matrices itself, i.e., $DL_gh = gh$ for $g, h \in Gl(n+1,\mathbb{R})$. Hence one sees that equation (15) is given simply by:

$$\dot{\Psi}_t' = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0\\ X & D_0 X \end{array}\right).$$

Note that, in general, though the X^a corresponds to the first two elements of the Taylor series of a vector field X, the factor Ψ_t presents a strong nonlinear behavior (in time) due to the fact that the coefficients of equation (15) are non-autonomous.

Linear control systems

Consider the following linear control system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

where A is an $d \times d$ -matrix, B is a fixed vector in \mathbb{R}^d , $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $u(t) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Let us fix the initial condition $x_0 = 0$ and the orthonormal frame bundle $k_0 = (e_1, \ldots, e_d)$, the canonical basis. The affine transformation decomposition is obvious: the vector fields A(x) and B are in the affine transformation Lie algebra, hence the solution flow φ_t already lives in $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For the Iwasawa decomposition, the projection of each vector field in the Lie algebra of isometries provides the equation for the isometric component of the flow, see equation (18). Hence the isometric component is the flow (rotations and translations) associated to the control system

$$\dot{x}(t) = A^{\perp}x(t) + Bu(t),$$

where A^{\perp} is the skew-symmetric matrix such that $A^{\perp}k = \frac{d(e^{At}k)^{\perp}}{dt}|_{t=0}$.

If A is skew-symmetric, the decomposition is trivial because the original system already lives in the group of isometries of \mathbb{R}^d .

Bilinear control systems

Consider the following bilinear control system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) A_i x(t),$$

where the A_i are $d \times d$ -matrices, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $(u_i(t)) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Again, the affine transformation decomposition is obvious: the vector fields $A_i x$ are in the affine transformation Lie algebra, hence the solution flow φ_t already lives in $A(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For the Iwasawa decomposition, let us fix the initial condition $x_0 = 0$ and the orthonormal frame bundle $k_0 = (e_1, \ldots, e_d)$, the canonical basis. Then, the isometric component Θ_t (pure rotations) is the flow associated to the following system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0^{\perp} x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) A_i^{\perp} x(t).$$

5.2 Spheres S^d

Let X be a vector field in the sphere S^d . Assume that the starting point is the north pole $N = (0, 0, ..., 1) \in S^d$ and that the orthonormal frame is the canonical basis $k = (e_1, ..., e_d)$. One way to calculate X^i is finding the element A in the Lie algebra of skew-symmetric matrices so(d + 1) whose vector field \widetilde{A} induced in S^d satisfies equations (17), i.e.:

$$\tilde{A}(e_{d+1}) = X(N),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} [e^{At}k]_{t=0} = (\nabla X(k))^{\perp}k.$$

Hence,

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} (\nabla X(N))_{\mathcal{K}} & X(N) \\ X(N)^t & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

where $X(N)^t$ is the transpose of the column vector X(N).

To complement this description of the vector X^i , we would suggest the reader to see the calculations in Liao [13] in terms of the partial derivatives of the components of X. In that (rather analytical) description, however, one misses the geometrical insight which our description (in terms of the action of the skew-symmetry matrix A) tries to provide.

North-south flow: Let $S^2 - \{N\}$ be parametrized by the stereographic projection π from \mathbb{R}^2 which intersects S^2 in the equator. The north-south flow is given by the projection on S^2 of the linear exponential contraction on \mathbb{R}^2 , precisely: $\varphi_t(p) = \pi \circ e^{-t}\pi^{-1}(p)$. It is associated to the vector field $X(x) = \pi_x(-e_3)$, where π_x is the orthogonal projection into the tangent space $T_x S^d$. For a point $(x, y, z) \in S^2$, one checks that the flow is given by

$$\varphi_t(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{\cosh(t) - z \sinh(t)} \left(x, y, z \cosh(t) - \sinh(t) \right).$$

Let $x_0 = e_1$ and $k = (e_2, e_3)$. For these initial conditions we have the decomposition: $\varphi_t = \Theta_t \circ \rho_t$ where

$$\Theta_t = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sech}(t) & 0 & \tanh(t) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\tanh(t) & 0 & \operatorname{sech}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

and, using the double-angle formulas $\sinh(2t) = 2\sinh(t)\cosh(t)$ and $\cosh(2t) = 2\cosh^2(t) - 1$, we find

$$\rho_t$$

$$= \left(\frac{2x-2}{\cosh(2t) - z\sinh(2t) + 1} + 1, \frac{y}{\cosh(t) - z\sinh(t)}, \frac{2(z\cosh(t) + (x-1)\sinh(t))}{\cosh(2t) - z\sinh(2t) + 1}\right)$$

Hence, the derivative of ρ_t at (1,0,0) is

$$D_{(1,0,0)}\rho_t = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{sech}^2(t) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \operatorname{sech}(t) & 0\\ \tanh(t) & 0 & \operatorname{sech}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

One sees that

$$D_{(1,0,0)}\rho_t \ (k) = k \ s_t,$$

where s_t are the upper triangular matrices

$$s_t = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \operatorname{sech}(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{sech}(t) \end{array} \right).$$

5.3 Hyperbolic spaces

This example has already been worked out in [17], where we deal with the hyperboloid H^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with the metric invariant by the Lorentz group O(1, n). In this case, a global parametrization centered at $N = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in H^n$ is given by the graph of the map $x^1 = \sqrt{1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (x^j)^2}$. We just recall the formula which states that given a vector field $X(x) = a_1(x) \partial_1 + \ldots + a_{n+1}(x) \partial_{n+1}$ with respect to the coordinates above, then, at the point $N = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in H^n$ and an orthonormal frame k in $T_N M$ we have:

$$X^{i}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{2}(N) & \dots & a_{n+1}(N) \\ a_{2}(N) & & \\ \vdots & & [\partial_{j}a_{i}](k)^{\perp} \\ a_{n+1}(N) & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that, if k is the canonical basis in $T_N M$, then $([\partial_j a_i](k))^{\perp}$ is simply $[(\partial_j a_i)]_{\mathcal{K}}$.

References

- [1] L. Arnold Random Dynamical Systems, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] L. Arnold and P. Imkeller Rotation numbers for linear stochastic differential equations, Ann. Probab. 27 (1999), 130–149.
- [3] J. Aubin and H. Frankowska Set-Valued Analysis, Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [4] F. Colonius and W. Kliemann The Dynamics of Control, Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [5] F. Colonius and W. Kliemann *Limits of Input-to-State Stability*, System and Control Letters 49(2003), 111-120.
- [6] K.D. Elworthy Geometric Aspects of Diffusions on Manifolds, in École d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, XV – XVII, 1985 – 1987 (P.L. Hennequin, ed.) pp. 276 - 425. Lecture Notes Math. 1362, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [7] R.A. Johnson, K.R. Palmer, G.R. Sell Ergodic properties of linear dynamical systems, SIAM J. Math. Anal. Appl. 18 (1987), 1-33.
- [8] W. Klingenberg *Riemannian Geometry*, Walter de Gruyter, 1982.
- [9] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu Foundations of Differential Geometry, Vol.1, Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1963.
- [10] H. Kunita Stochastic differential equations and stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms, in École d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XII - 1982, pp. 143–303. Ed. P.L. Hennequin. Lecture Notes Math. 1097, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [11] H. Kunita Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations, Cambridge University Press, 1988.
- [12] M. Liao Liapounov exponents of stochastic flows, Ann. Probab. 25 (1997), 1241–1256.

- M. Liao Decomposition of stochastic flows and Lyapunov exponents, Probab. Theory Rel. Fields 117 (2000) 589-607.
- [14] J. G. Ratcliffe On the isometry groups of hyperbolic manifolds in The Mathematical Legacy of Wilhelm Magnus: Groups, Geometry and Special Sunctions (Brooklyn, NY, 1992), 491–495, Contemp. Math., 169, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [15] P. R. C. Ruffino Matrix of rotation for stochastic dynamical systems, Computational and Applied Maths. - SBMA 18 (1999), 213-226.
- [16] P. R. C. Ruffino Decomposition of stochastic flows and rotation matrix, Stochastic and Dynamics. Vol 2(1) (2002), 93–108, .
- [17] P. R. C. Ruffino Non-Linear Iwasawa decomposition of stochastic flows: geometrical characterization and examples. Proceedings of Semigroup Operators: Theory and Applications II (SOTA-2), Rio de Janeiro, 10-14 Sep. 2001, pp. 213-226. Ed: C. Kubrusly, N. Levan, M. da Silveira. Optimization Software, Los Angeles, 2002.
- [18] L. A. B. San Martin and P. A. Tonelli Semigroup actions on homogeneous spaces. Semigroup Forum 50 (1995), 59–88.